arXiv:1401.6415v2 [math.FA] 20 Mar 2014

ABSTRACT CESÀRO SPACES. I. DUALITY*

KAROL LEŚNIK AND LECH MALIGRANDA

Abstract. We study abstract Cesàro spaces CX, which may be regarded as generalizations of Cesàro sequence spaces ces_p and Cesàro function spaces $Ces_p(I)$ on $I = [0, 1]$ or $I = [0, \infty)$, and also as the description of optimal domain from which Cesàro operator acts to X. We find the dual of such spaces in a very general situation. What is however even more important, we do it in the simplest possible way. Our proofs are more elementary than the known ones for ces_p and $Ces_p(I)$. This is the point how our paper should be seen, i.e. not as generalization of known results, but rather like grasping and exhibiting the general nature of the problem, which is not so easy visible in the previous publications. Our results show also an interesting phenomenon that there is a big difference between duality in the cases of finite and infinite interval.

1. Introduction

In 1968 the Dutch Mathematical Society posted a problem to find the Köthe dual of Cesàro sequence spaces ces_p and Cesàro function spaces $Ces_p[0, \infty)$. In 1974 problem was solved (isometrically) by Jagers [Ja74] even for weighted Cesàro sequence spaces, but the proof is far from being easy and elementary. Before, it was also known a result of Luxemburg and Zaanen [LZ66] who have found the Köthe dual of $Ces_\infty[0, 1]$ space (known as the Korenblum-Kreĭn-Levin space – cf. [KKL48]). Already in 1957 Alexiewicz, in his ovelooked paper [Al57], found implicitly the Köthe dual of the weighted ces_∞-spaces (see Section 5 for more information). Later on some amount of papers appeared in the case of sequence spaces as well as for function spaces. Bennett [Be96] proved representation of the dual $(ces_p)^*$ for $1 < p < \infty$ as the corollary from factorization theorems for Cesàro and l^p spaces. This description is simpler than the one given by Jagers [Ja74]. On the one hand, his factorization method was universal enough to be adopted to the function case on $[0, \infty)$ and $[0, 1]$, which was done by Astashkin and Maligranda [AM09, Theorem 3]. However, this method is rather complicated and indirect, possibly valid only for power functions. Totally different approach appeared in the paper by Sy, Zhang and Lee [SZL87], but the idea was based on Jagers' result. Also Kamińska and Kubiak in [KK12] were inspired by Jagers when they found an isometric representation of the Köthe dual of weighted Cesàro function spaces $Ces_{p,w}$. They used not so easy Jagers' idea of relative concavity and concave majorants. In 2007 in the paper by Kerman, Milman and Sinnamon [KMS07] the abstract Cesàro spaces CX appeared and the Köthe dual of these spaces was found but only in the case of rearrangement invariant space X on $I = [0, \infty)$. The result comes from the equivalence (in norm) of the Cesàro operator with the so-called level function. This time again, one has to go through a very technical theory of down spaces and level functions to get the mentioned dual.

*This publication has been produced during scholarship period of the first author at the Luleå University of Technology, thanks to a Swedish Institute scholarschip (number 0095/2013).

[1]2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E30, 46B20, 46B42.

[2]*Key words and phrases*: Cesàro function spaces, Cesàro sequence spaces, Cesàro operator, Copson function spaces, Copson sequence spaces, Copson operator, Banach ideal spaces, symmetric spaces, dual spaces, Köthe dual spaces.

Our goal in this paper is to grasp the general nature of the problem of duality of Cesàro spaces. We shall prove the duality theorem for abstract Cesàro spaces (isomorphic form) by as elementary method as possible. Moreover, our method applies to a very general case and in this case our proof is easier and more comprehensive than any earlier. Especially the function case on $[0,\infty)$ is instructive, but more delicate modification must be done in the case of interval $[0,1]$. Generally, for one inclusion there is crucial Sinnamon's result (which is however very intuitive and elementary one and avoids level functions) and the second inclusion follows from some kind of idempotency of the Cesàro operator, which was noticed firstly for the sequence case by Bennett in [Be96], which proof was simplified by Curbera and Ricker in [CR13].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some necessary definitions and notations are collected, together with some basic results on Cesàro abstract spaces. In particular, we can see when the abstract Cesàro spaces CX are nontrivial.

Sections 3 and 4 contain results on the Köthe dual $(CX)'$ of abstract Cesàro spaces. There is a big difference between the cases on $[0,\infty)$ and on $[0,1]$, as we can see in Theorems 3, 4 and 5. Important in our investigations were earlier results on the Köthe dual $(Ces_p[0,\infty))'$ due to Kerman-Milman-Sinnamon [KMS07] and $(Ces_p(I))'$ due to Astashkin-Maligranda [AM09].

In Section 5, the Köthe dual of abstract Cesàro sequence spaces is presented in Theorem 6. We also collected here our knowledge about earlier results on Köthe duality of Cesàro sequence spaces ces_p and their weighted versions.

In Section 6 we first give in Theorem 7 a simple proof of a generalization of the Luxemburg-Zaanen [LZ66] and Tandori [Ta55] results on duality of weighted Cesàro spaces $Ces_{\infty,w}$. This proof is also working for weighted Cesàro sequence spaces (implicitely proved by Alexiewicz [Al57]). Then in Theorem 8 we identify the Cesàro-Lorentz space $C\Lambda_\varphi$ with the weighted L^1-space, using our duality result proved in Theorem 3, which simplifies the result of Delgado and Soria [DS07].

Finally, in connection to the proof of Theorem 5 we collected Appendices A and B at the end of this paper. First one, is about weighted version of the Calderón-Mitjagin interpolation theorem and the second contains an improvement of the Hardy inequality in weighted spaces $L^p(x^\alpha)$ on $[0,1]$.

2. Definitions and basic facts

We recall some notions and definitions which we will need later on. By $L^0 = L^0(I)$ we denote the set of all equivalence classes of real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions defined on $I = [0,1]$ or $I = [0,\infty)$. A *Banach ideal space* $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ (on I) is understood to be a Banach space in $L^0(I)$, which satisfies the so-called ideal property: if $f, g \in L^0(I), |f| \le |g|$ a.e. on I and $g \in X$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f\| \le \|g\|$. Sometimes we write $\|\cdot\|_X$ to be sure in which space the norm is taken. If it is not stated otherwise, then we understand that in a Banach ideal space there is $f \in X$ with $f(t) > 0$ for each $t \in I$ (such a function is called the *weak unit* in X), which means that $\mathrm{supp} X = I$.

Since the inclusion of two Banach ideal spaces is continuous, we should write $X \hookrightarrow Y$ rather that $X \subset Y$. Moreover, the symbol $X \overset{A}{\hookrightarrow} Y$ means $X \hookrightarrow Y$ with the norm of inclusion not bigger than A, i.e., $\|f\|_Y \le A\|f\|_X$ for all $f \in X$. Also $X = Y$ (and $X \equiv Y$) means that the spaces are the same and the norms are equivalent (equal).

For a Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ on I the *Köthe dual space* (or *associated space*) X' is the space of all $f \in L^0(I)$ such that the *associate norm*

$$\|f\|' := \sup_{g\in X,\, \|g\|_X\le 1} \int_I |f(x)g(x)|\,dx \tag{2.1}$$

is finite. The Köthe dual $X' = (X', \|\cdot\|')$ is then a Banach ideal space. Moreover, $X \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} X''$ and we have equality $X = X''$ with $\|f\| = \|f\|''$ if and only if the norm in X has the *Fatou property*, that is, if the conditions $0 \le f_n \nearrow f$ a.e. on I and $\sup_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \|f_n\| < \infty$ imply that $f \in X$ and $\|f_n\| \nearrow \|f\|$.

For a Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$ on I with the Köthe dual X' there holds the following *generalized Hölder-Rogers inequality*: if $f \in X$ and $g \in X'$, then fg is integrable and

$$\int_I |f(x)g(x)|\,dx \le \|f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}. \tag{2.2}$$

A function f in a Banach ideal space X on I is said to have *order continuous norm* in X if, for any decreasing sequence of Lebesgue measurable sets $A_n \subset I$ with empty intersection, we have that $\|f\chi_{A_n}\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The set of all functions in X with order continuous norm is denoted by X_a. If $X_a = X$, then the space X is said to be *order continuous*. For order continuous Banach ideal space X the Köthe dual X' and the dual space X^* coincide. Moreover, a Banach ideal space X with the Fatou property is reflexive if and only if both X and its associate space X' are order continuous.

For a weight $w(x)$, i.e. a measurable function on I with $0 < w(x) < \infty$ a.e. and for a Banach ideal space X on I, the *weighted Banach ideal space* $X(w)$ is defined as $X(w) = \{f \in L^0 : fw \in X\}$ with the norm $\|f\|_{X(w)} = \|fw\|_X$. Of course, $X(w)$ is also a Banach ideal space and

$$[X(w)]' \equiv X'\Big(\frac{1}{w}\Big). \tag{2.3}$$

By a *rearrangement invariant* or *symmetric space* on I with the Lebesgue measure m, we mean a Banach ideal space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ with the additional property that for any two equimeasurable functions $f \sim g, f, g \in L^0(I)$ (that is, they have the same distribution functions $d_f \equiv d_g$, where $d_f(\lambda) = m(\{x \in I : |f(x)| > \lambda\}), \lambda \ge 0$, and $f \in E$ we have $g \in E$ and $\|f\|_E = \|g\|_E$. In particular, $\|f\|_X = \|f^*\|_X$, where $f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 :\ d_f(\lambda) < t\},\ t \ge 0$.

For general properties of Banach ideal spaces and symmetric spaces we refer to the books [BS88], [KA77], [KPS82], [LT79] and [Ma89].

In order to define and formulate the results we need the continuous Cesàro operator C defined for $0 < x \in I$ as

$$Cf(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt,$$

and also a nonincreasing majorant $\widetilde{f}$ of a given function f, which is defined for $x \in I$ as

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \operatorname{ess}\sup_{t\in I,\, t\ge x} |f(t)|.$$

For a Banach ideal space X on I we define an *abstract Cesàro space* $CX = CX(I)$ as

$$CX = \{f \in L^0(I) : C|f| \in X\} \ \text{ with the norm } \ \|f\|_{CX} = \|C|f|\|_X, \tag{2.4}$$

and the space $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}(I)$ as

$$\widetilde{X} = \{f \in L^0(I) : \widetilde{f} \in X\} \text{ with the norm } \|f\|_{\widetilde{X}} = \|\widetilde{f}\|_X. \tag{2.5}$$

The space CX for a Banach ideal space X on $[0,\infty)$ was defined already in [Ru80] and spaces CX, $\widetilde{X}$ for X being a symmetric space on $[0,\infty)$ have appeared, for example, in [KMS07] and [DS07].

The *dilation operators* σ_τ ($\tau > 0$) defined on $L^0(I)$ by

$$\sigma_\tau f(x) = f(x/\tau)\chi_I(x/\tau) = f(x/\tau)\chi_{[0,\min(1,\tau)]}(x), \quad x \in I,$$

are bounded in any symmetric space X on I and $\|\sigma_\tau\|_{X\to X} \le \max(1,\tau)$ (see [BS88, p. 148] and [KPS82, pp. 96-98]). They are also bounded in some Banach ideal spaces which are not necessary symmetric. For example, if either $X = L^p(x^\alpha)(I)$ or $X = CL^p(x^\alpha)(I)$, then $\|\sigma_\tau\|_{X\to X} = \tau^{1/p+\alpha}$ (see [Ru80] for more examples).

Let us collect some basic properties of CX and $\widetilde{X}$ spaces.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach ideal space on I. Then both CX and $\widetilde{X}$ are also Banach ideal spaces on I (not necessary with a weak unit). Moreover,*

(a) $CX[0,\infty) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) \in X$ for some $a > 0$.
(b) $CX[0,1] \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $\chi_{[a,1]} \in X$ for some $0 < a < 1$.
(c) $\widetilde{X} \neq \{0\}$ if and only if X contains a nonzero, nonincreasing function on I.
(d) If X has the Fatou property, then CX and $\widetilde{X}$ have the Fatou property.
(e) $(\widetilde{X})_a = \{0\}$.

Proof. (a). Suppose that $\frac{1}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) \in X$ for some $a > 0$. For any $b > a$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_{[a,b]}\|_{CX} &= \|\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \chi_{[a,b]}(t)\,dt\|_X = \|\frac{x-a}{x}\chi_{[a,b]}(x) + \frac{b-a}{x}\chi_{(b,\infty)}(x)\|_X \\ &\le \|\frac{b-a}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x)\|_X = (b-a)\|\frac{1}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x)\|_X < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

whence $\chi_{[a,b]} \in CX$.

If $CX \neq \{0\}$, then there exists $0 \neq f \in CX$, that is, $|f(x)| > 0$ for $x \in A$ with $0 < m(A) < \infty$, and we can find $a > 0$ such that $b = \int_0^a |f(t)|\,dt > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) &\le \frac{1}{x}\int_0^a |f(t)|\,dt\,\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) \\ &\le \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x |f(t)|\,dt\,\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) \le C|f|(x) \in X,\end{aligned}$$

and so $\frac{1}{x}\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x) \in X$.

(b) Proof is similar as in (a). However, observe that the condition $\chi_{[a,1]} \in X$ has no weight $w(x) = 1/x$ as in (a). Proof of (c) is clear. Proof of (d) follows from the facts that if $f_n, f \in L^0(I)$ and $0 \le f_n \nearrow f$ pointwise on I, then $Cf_n \nearrow Cf$ pointwise on I. Also $f_n\chi_{[x,\infty)\cap I} \nearrow f\chi_{[x,\infty)\cap I}$ for every $x \in I$, and so $\widetilde{f_n} \nearrow \widetilde{f}$ pointwise on I, which implies, by the Fatou property of X, that $\|f_n\|_{CX} = \|Cf_n\|_X \nearrow \|Cf\|_X = \|f\|_{CX}$ and also $\|f_n\|_{\widetilde{X}} = \|\widetilde{f_n}\|_X \nearrow \|\widetilde{f}\|_X = \|f\|_{\widetilde{X}}$.

(e) Suppose $0 \neq f \in \widetilde{X}$. Then $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x\in I} |f(x)| = a > 0$. It means

$$m(\{x \in I : |f(x)| > a/2\}| = b > 0.$$

In particular, for $A = \{x \in I : |f(x)| > a/2\} \setminus [0, b/2]$ we have $m(A) \geq b/2$. Now, choose a sequence of sets of positive measure (A_n) such that $m(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$, $A_{n+1} \subset A_n \subset A$ for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Then

$$\frac{a}{2}\chi_{[0,b/2]} \leq \widetilde{f\chi_{A_n}}, \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

and consequently

$$\|\frac{a}{2}\chi_{[0,b/2]}\|_X = \|\frac{a}{2}\chi_{[0,b/2]}\|_{\widetilde{X}} \leq \|\widetilde{f\chi_{A_n}}\|_X = \|f\chi_{A_n}\|_{\widetilde{X}},$$

which means that $f \notin (\widetilde{X})_a$. □

Remark 1. It is important to notice that there are Banach ideal spaces X for which Cesàro space $CX \neq \{0\}$ but it does not contain a weak unit (see Example 2 below). Using the notion of support of the space (more information about this notion can be found, for example, in [KA77, p. 137], [Ma89, pp. 169-170] and [KLM13, pp. 879-880]) we can say even more, namely that $\mathrm{supp}CX \subset \mathrm{supp}X$ and the inclusion can be essentially strict. On the other hand, in our investigations of the duality of Cesàro spaces the natural assumption is that Cesàro operator is bounded in the given Banach ideal space X which ensures that $\mathrm{supp}CX = \mathrm{supp}X = I$.

Example 2. Consider the Banach ideal space $X = L^p(w)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and weight $w(x) = \max(\frac{1}{1-x}, 1)$ on $I = [0, \infty)$. Then $\mathrm{supp}X = I$ but $\mathrm{supp}CX = [1, \infty)$.

Remark 3. Cesàro spaces CX on I are not symmetric spaces even when X is a symmetric Banach space on I and Cesàro operator C is bounded on X. In fact, it was proved in [DS07, Theorem 2.1] that $CX \not\hookrightarrow L^1 + L^\infty$ for $I = [0, \infty)$ from which it follows that $CX[0, \infty)$ cannot be symmetric and in [AM09] it was shown that $CL^p[0, 1]$ is not symmetric. It seems that CX is never symmetric (even cannot be renormed to be symmetric) but this is only our conjecture.

3. Duality on $[0, \infty)$

The description of Köthe dual spaces of $Ces_p[0, \infty)$ spaces for $1 < p \leq \infty$ appeared as remark in Bennett [Be96, p. 124], but it was proved by Astashkin-Maligranda [AM09]. For more general spaces CX, where X is a symmetric space having additional properties, it was proved by Kerman-Milman-Sinnamon [KMS07, Theorem D] and they used in the proof some of Sinnamon's results [Si03, Theorem 2.1] and [Si01, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2].

In the case of symmetric spaces on $[0, \infty)$ one can simplify the proof of duality theorem from [KMS07, Theorem D], using the results of Sinnamon (cf. [Si01], [Si03] and [Si07]). The proof below seems to be simpler, although uses the same ideas.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a symmetric space on $[0, \infty)$ with the Fatou property. If C is a bounded operator on X, then*

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. From Theorem 1 spaces CX and $\widetilde{X'}$ have the Fatou property. Therefore it is enough to show that

$$CX = (CX)'' = \left(\widetilde{X'}\right)'.$$

For $f \in \left(\widetilde{X'}\right)'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{(\widetilde{X'})'} &= \sup\{\int_I |f(x)\, g(x)|\, dx :\ g \in \widetilde{X'}, \|g\|_{\widetilde{X'}} \le 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\int_I |f(x)\, g(x)|\, dt :\ \widetilde{g} \in X', \|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} \le 1\} := I(f).\end{aligned}$$

From one side

$$I(f) \le \sup\{\int_I |f(x)|\, \widetilde{g}(x)\, dx :\ \widetilde{g} \in X', \|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} \le 1\},$$

and on the other hand

$$I(f) \ge \sup\{\int_I |f(x)\, g(x)|\, dx :\ g = \widetilde{g} \in X', \|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} \le 1\},$$

because the supremum on the right is taken over smaller set of functions. Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{(\widetilde{X'})'} = \sup\{\int_I |f(x)|\, h(x)\, dt : 0 \le h \downarrow, \|h\|_{X'} \le 1\} =: \|f\|_{X^{\downarrow}}. \tag{3.2}$$

The last supremum define the so-called "down space" $X^{\downarrow}$ (cf. [Si94] and [Si01]) and so

$$\|f\|_{(\widetilde{X'})'} = \|f\|_{X^{\downarrow}}. \tag{3.3}$$

For $I = [0, \infty)$ the identification of the down space $X^{\downarrow}$ with the Cesàro space CX, provided operator C is bounded on the symmetric space X, is a consequence of Sinnamon's result [Si01, Theorem 3.1]. Thus,

$$\|f\|_{(\widetilde{X'})'} = \|f\|_{X^{\downarrow}} \approx \|C|f|\|_X = \|f\|_{CX}. \tag{3.4}$$

□

Remark 4. Let us mention that the duality of down space $X^{\downarrow}$ for symmetric space X with the help of level functions was investigated by Sinnamon in his papers [Si94, Theorem 6.7], [Si01, Theorem 5.7] and [Si07, Theorem 2.1]. Another proof of (3.3) can be found in [Si01, Theorem 5.6].

Let us generalize the above theorem to a wider class than symmetric spaces, which will include corresponding isomorphic versions from [KK12] and [Ja74]. Our method here is more direct and does not need neither the notion of level functions nor down spaces. However, one result of Sinnamon, namely [Si03, Theorem 2.1] (see also [Si07] for a very nice intuitive graphical explanation of this equality) will be necessary. Since the result is given for a general measure on $\mathbb{R}$, we need to reformulate it slightly to make it compatible with our notion.

Proposition 5 (Sinnamon, 2003)**.** *Let either $I = [0, \infty)$ or $I = [0, 1]$. For a measurable $f, g, h \ge 0$ on I we have*

$$\int_I f(x)\, \widetilde{g}(x)\, dx = \sup_{h \prec f} \int_I h(x)\, g(x)\, dx, \tag{3.5}$$

where $h \prec f$ means that $\int_0^u h(x)dx \le \int_0^u f(x)dx$ for all $u \in I$.

Proof. From [Si03, Theorem 2.1] we have for a λ - measurable $f, g, h \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,\widetilde{g}\,d\lambda = \sup_{h \prec_\lambda f} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\,g\,d\lambda,$$

where $h \prec_\lambda f$ means that $\int_{-\infty}^{u} h d\lambda \leq \int_{-\infty}^{u} f d\lambda$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case $I = [0, \infty)$ we put λ to be just the Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$ and zero elsewhere. Then $h \prec_\lambda f$ if and only if $h \prec f$ because for each $u \geq 0$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{u} h d\lambda \leq \int_{-\infty}^{u} f d\lambda \Longleftrightarrow \int_{0}^{u} h(x)dx \leq \int_{0}^{u} f(x)dx.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\,\widetilde{g}\,d\lambda = \sup_{h \prec_\lambda f} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\,g\,d\lambda = \sup_{h \prec f} \int_0^\infty h(x)\,g(x)\,dx.$$

In the case of interval $[0, 1]$ we put λ to be the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and zero elsewhere. Then $\widetilde{g}(x) = \widetilde{g\chi_{[0,1]}}(x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and the remaining part of the proof works in the same way as before. □

Theorem 3. *Let X be a Banach ideal space on $I = [0, \infty)$ such that both the Cesàro operator C and the dilation operator σ_τ, for some $0 < \tau < 1$, are bounded on X. Then*

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'} \quad \textit{with equivalent norms.} \tag{3.6}$$

We start with the continuous version of the inequality, proved for sequences by Curbera and Ricker [CR13, Proposition 2].

Lemma 6. *If $0 \leq f \in L^1_{loc}[0, \infty)$ and $a > 1$ is arbitrary, then*

$$\int_0^{x/a} f(t)\,dt \leq \frac{1}{\ln a} \int_0^x \left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right) dt \quad \textit{for all} \quad x > 0, \tag{3.7}$$

that is, $Cf(x/a) \leq \dfrac{a}{\ln a}\, CCf(x)$ for all $x > 0$.

Proof. For $x > 0$, by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x \left(\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(s)\,ds\right) dt &= \int_0^x f(s) \left(\int_s^x \frac{1}{t}\,dt\right) ds = \int_0^x f(s) \ln \frac{x}{s}\,ds \\
&= \int_0^{x/a} f(s) \ln \frac{x}{s}\,ds + \int_{x/a}^x f(s) \ln \frac{x}{s}\,ds \\
&\geq \ln a \int_0^{x/a} f(s)\,ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$CCf(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x Cf(t)\,dt \geq \frac{\ln a}{a}\, Cf(x/a).$$

□

Remark 7. From the classical Hardy inequality and (3.7) we obtain that if $1 < p \leq \infty$, then

$$C^2 L^p = C(CL^p) = CL^p.$$

Such an equality for Cesàro sequence spaces $ces_p\,(1 < p < \infty)$ was proved by Bennett (cf. [Be96, Theorem 20.31]) and simplified in [CR13]. In fact, by the Hardy inequality we

have $L^p \overset{p'}{\hookrightarrow} CL^p$ (cf. [KMP07]) and so $CL^p \overset{p'}{\hookrightarrow} CCL^p$. On the other hand, (3.7) shows that

$$a^{1/p}\|C|f|\|_{L^p} = \|\sigma_a(C|f|)\|_{L^p} \le \frac{a}{\ln a}\|CC|f|\|_{L^p}.$$

Since $\inf_{a>1} \dfrac{a^{1-1/p}}{\ln a} = \dfrac{e}{p'}$, it follows that $CCL^p \overset{e/p'}{\hookrightarrow} CL^p$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We shall start with the usually simpler inclusion $\widetilde{X'} \hookrightarrow (CX)'$. Taking the substitution $t = au$ in the right integral of (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{x/a} |f(t)|\,dt &\le \frac{a}{\ln a}\int_0^{x/a}\left(\frac{1}{au}\int_0^{au}|f(s)|\,ds\right)du \\ &= \frac{a}{\ln a}\int_0^{x/a} C|f|(au)\,du \quad \text{for all } \ x>0.\end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in \widetilde{X'}$ and $f \in CX$, then applying the above estimate to the property 18^o from page 72 in [KPS82] and by the Hölder-Rogers inequality (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |f(x)\,g(x)|\,dx &\le \int_0^\infty |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx \le \frac{a}{\ln a}\int_0^\infty C|f|(ax)\,\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx \\ &\le \frac{a}{\ln a}\|C|f|(ax)\|_X\|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} \le \frac{a}{\ln a}\|\sigma_{1/a}\|_{X\to X}\|C|f|\|_X\|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} \\ &= \frac{a}{\ln a}\|\sigma_{1/a}\|_{X\to X}\|f\|_{CX}\|g\|_{\widetilde{X'}},\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\|g\|_{(CX)'} \le \frac{a}{\ln a}\|\sigma_{1/a}\|_{X\to X}\|g\|_{\widetilde{X'}}$$

and so $\widetilde{X'} \overset{A}{\hookrightarrow} (CX)'$ with $A = \frac{a}{\ln a}\|\sigma_{1/a}\|_{X\to X}$ and $a > 1$.

We can now turn our attention into, usually more difficult, second inclusion $(CX)' \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X'}$. Let $g \in (CX)'$ and $f \in X$, then by (3.5) in Proposition 5, the generalized Hölder-Rogers inequality and using the assumption that operator C is bounded on X we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx &= \sup_{|h|\prec|f|}\int_0^\infty |h(x)g(x)|\,dx \le \sup_{|h|\prec|f|}\|h\|_{CX}\|g\|_{(CX)'} \\ &\le \|f\|_{CX}\|g\|_{(CX)'} = \|C|f|\|_X\|g\|_{(CX)'} \le B\|f\|_X\|g\|_{(CX)'},\end{aligned}$$

where $B = \|C\|_{X\to X}$. Therefore,

$$\|g\|_{\widetilde{X'}} = \|\widetilde{g}\|_{X'} = \sup_{\|f\|_X\le 1}\int_0^\infty |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx \le B\|g\|_{(CX)'}$$

and thus $(CX)' \overset{B}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{X'}$. □

Remark 8. It is worth to notice that in Theorem 3, the assumption on boundedness of dilation operator was used only for the inclusion $\widetilde{X'} \hookrightarrow (CX)'$. On the other hand, the proof of inclusion $(CX)' \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X'}$ requires only boundedness of C on X.

Some authors considered weighted Cesàro operators or Cesàro operator C in weighted $L^p(w)$ spaces which leads to weighted Cesàro spaces $Ces_{p,w}$. These spaces are particular examples of abstract Cesàro spaces CX, in fact, $Ces_{p,w} = C\big(L^p(w)\big)$. From Theorem

3 we obtain the following duality result even for more general weighted Cesàro spaces $C\big(X(w)\big)$.

Corollary 9. *Let X be a symmetric space on $[0,\infty)$ and w be a weight on $[0,\infty)$ such that the dilation operator σ_a (for some $0<a<1$) and Cesàro operator C are bounded on $X(w)$. Then*

$$\big[C\big(X(w)\big)\big]' = \widetilde{\big(X'(\frac{1}{w})\big)}.$$

It is not clear if our approach includes all weights from [KK12] (with equivalent norms) but for the power weight $w(x)=x^\alpha$ with $\alpha<1-1/p$ and $1<p<\infty$ we obtain

$$[Ces_{p,x^\alpha}]' = \big[C\big(L^p(x^\alpha)\big)\big]' = \widetilde{L^{p'}(x^{-\alpha})},$$

since C is bounded in $L^p(x^\alpha)$ with the norm $\|C\| = (1-\alpha-1/p)^{-p}$ (cf. [HLP52, p. 245] or [KMP07, p. 23]) and σ_τ has norm $\|\sigma_\tau\| = \tau^{1/p+\alpha}$.

4. Duality on $[0,1]$

The duality of Cesàro spaces on $I=[0,1]$ is more delicate and less known. Astashkin-Maligranda [AM09, Theorem 3] proved that for $1<p<\infty$ we have $(Ces_p)' = U(p') := \widetilde{L^{p'}(\frac{1}{1-x})}$, where $f\in \widetilde{L^{p'}(\frac{1}{1-x})}$ means that $\widetilde{f}\in L^{p'}(\frac{1}{1-x})$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{U(p')} = \left[\int_0^1 \Big(\frac{\widetilde{f}(x)}{1-x}\Big)^{p'} dx\right]^{1/p}.$$

The proof of inclusion $U(p')\hookrightarrow (Ces_p)'$ required improvement of the Hardy inequality, which they gave in [AM09, inequality (21)]: if $1<p<\infty$, then $C: L^p(1-x)\to L^p$ is bounded, that is,

$$\|Cf\|_{L^p} \le A_p \,\|(1-x)f(x)\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all} \quad f\in L^p(1-x), \tag{4.1}$$

with $A_p\le 2(p'+2p)$. Their proof gives even more general result, which we will use later on. Let us present proof for the case of symmetric spaces on $[0,1]$. We will need in the proof Copson operator which is defined by formula $C^*f(x) = \int_x^1 \frac{f(t)}{t}\,dt$.

Lemma 10 (Astashkin-Maligranda, 2009)**.** *If X is a symmetric space on $I=[0,1]$ and both operators $C, C^*: X\to X$ are bounded, then $C: X(1-x)\to X$ is also bounded.*

Proof. Let $w(x)=1-x$, $f(x)\ge 0$ for $x\in I$. Then for $0<x\le 1/2$

$$Cf(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt \le \frac{2}{x}\int_0^x f(t)w(t)\,dt = 2\,C(fw)(x),$$

and for $1/2\le x\le 1$

$$\begin{aligned} Cf(x) &\le 2\int_0^x f(t)\,dt = 2\int_{1-x}^1 f(1-t)\,dt \\ &= 2\int_{1-x}^1 \frac{f(1-t)w(1-t)}{t}\,dt = 2\,C^*\big(\,\overline{fw}\,\big)(1-x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{fw}(t) = f(1-t)w(1-t)$. Therefore,

$$\|Cf\|_X \le 2\,\|C(fw)\|_X + 2\,\|C^*(\overline{fw})\|_X \le 2\,(\|C\|_{X\to X} + \|C^*\|_{X\to X})\,\|fw\|_X.$$

□

We shall prove that $(CX)' = \widetilde{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})}$ under some assumptions on the space X but each inclusion will be proved separately.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a Banach ideal space on $I = [0,1]$ such that the operator $C : X(1-x) \to X$ is bounded. Then*

$$(CX)' \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X'\big(\frac{1}{1-x}\big)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $g \in (CX)'$ and $f \in CX$, then using Proposition 5 and applying our assumption in the last inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)\,dx &= \sup_{|h|\prec|f|}\int_0^1 |h(x)g(x)|\,dx \le \sup_{|h|\prec|f|}\|h\|_{CX}\|g\|_{(CX)'} \le \|f\|_{CX}\|g\|_{(CX)'} \\ &= \|Cf\|_X\|g\|_{(CX)'} \le D\|(1-x)f(x)\|_X\|g\|_{(CX)'},\end{aligned}$$

where $D = \|C\|_{X(1-x)\to X}$. Since $[X(1-x)]' \equiv X'(\frac{1}{1-x})$, it follows that

$$\|g\|_{\widetilde{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})}} = \sup_{\|f\|_{X(1-x)}\le 1}\int_0^1 |f(x)\,\widetilde{g}(x)|\,dx \le D\,\|g\|_{(CX)'},$$

thus $(CX)' \overset{D}{\hookrightarrow} \widetilde{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})}$ with $D = \|C\|_{X(1-x)\to X}$. □

Theorem 5. *If X is a symmetric space on $I = [0,1]$ with the Fatou property, then*

$$\widetilde{X'\big(\frac{1}{1-x}\big)} \hookrightarrow (CX)'. \tag{4.3}$$

In the proof of Theorem 5 we will need the following result, similar to Lemma 6.

Lemma 11. *If $\int_0^t |f(x)|dx < \infty$ for each $0 < t < 1$, then*

$$\int_0^{t/d(t)} |f(x)|dx \le \int_0^t \frac{1}{1-x}\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x |f(s)|ds\right)dx = \int_0^t \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}dt \quad \textit{for all } 0 < t < 1,$$

where $d(t) := t + e - et$.

Proof. Observe that $1 < d(t) < e$ for $0 < t < 1$. By the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}\,dx &= \int_0^t \frac{\int_0^x |f(s)|\,ds}{x(1-x)}\,dx = \int_0^t |f(s)|\left(\int_s^t \frac{dx}{x(1-x)}\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t |f(s)|\left[\int_s^t (\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{1-x})\,dx\right]ds = \int_0^t |f(s)|\,\ln\frac{t(1-s)}{s(1-t)}\,ds \\ &= \int_0^{t/d(t)} |f(s)|\ln\frac{t(1-s)}{s(1-t)}\,ds + \int_{t/d(t)}^t |f(s)|\ln\frac{t(1-s)}{s(1-t)}\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for $0 < s \le t/(t+e-et)$ we have $\frac{t(1-s)}{s(1-t)} \ge e$ and of course $\ln\frac{t(1-s)}{s(1-t)} \ge 1$ for each $0 < s < 1$ so we get

$$\int_0^{t/d(t)} |f(x)|\,dx \le \int_0^t \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}\,dx$$

as required. □

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $0 \le g = \widetilde{g} \in X'(\frac{1}{1-x})$ be a simple function. Since g is nonincreasing, $X' \hookrightarrow L^1$ and $\frac{1}{1-x} \notin L^1$, we can see that g may be written as

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \chi_{[0,\, t_k)},$$

for $0 \le a_k$ and $0 < t_k < 1$ for $k = 1, 2, ..., n$. Define S as a class of all g of the above form. We need to show that there is a constant $M > 0$ such that for each $f \in CX$ and $g \in S$

$$\int_0^1 |g(x)f(x)|\, dx \le M \big\| \frac{g(x)}{1-x} \big\|_{X'} \big\| C|f| \big\|_X = M \|g\|_{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})} \|f\|_{CX}. \tag{4.4}$$

For $d(t) = t + e - et$ denote

$$g_d = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \chi_{[0,\, t_k/d(t_k))}.$$

Then, by Lemma 11, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 g_d(x)|f(x)|\, dx &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \int_0^{t_k/d(t_k)} |f(x)|\, dx \\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \int_0^{t_k} \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}\, dx = \int_0^1 g(x) \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying generalized Hölder-Rogers inequality (2.2) one has

$$\int_0^1 g_d(x)|f(x)|\, dx \le \int_0^1 g(x) \frac{C|f|(x)}{1-x}\, dx \le \big\| \frac{g(x)}{1-x} \big\|_{X'} \big\| C|f| \big\|_X.$$

Therefore, to get (4.4) we need to find a constant $M > 0$, independent of the choice of g, such that $\|g\|_{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})} \le M \|g_d\|_{X'(\frac{1}{1-x})}$. To do this, let us consider a function $\sigma : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ given by $\sigma(t) = \frac{t}{d(t)} = \frac{t}{t+e-et}$. Then $\sigma^{-1}(t) = \frac{et}{1-t+et}$. Define the composition operator T on $L^0[0,1]$ by

$$Th(t) = h(\sigma(t)).$$

The key now is to notice that

$$T\chi_{[0,\, a)}(t) = \chi_{[0,\, a)}(\sigma(t)) = \chi_{[0,\, \sigma^{-1}(a))}(t),$$

where the last equality is a consequence of equivalence $\sigma(t) = a \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}(a) = t$. If therefore $a = x/d(x)$, then

$$T\chi_{[0,\, x/d(x))} = \chi_{[0,\, x)}$$

and consequently for g and g_d like above

$$Tg_d = g.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to show that T is bounded on $X'(\frac{1}{1-x})$. Of course, by the weighted version of Calderón-Mitjagin interpolation theorem (cf. Appendix A) it is enough to prove its boundedness only on $L^\infty(\frac{1}{1-x})$ and on $L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})$. Since $\varphi(x) = 1 - x$ belongs to $L^\infty(\frac{1}{1-x})$ and T preserves lattice structure, it sufficies to see that $T\varphi \in L^\infty(\frac{1}{1-x})$. We have

$$T\varphi(x) = \varphi(\sigma(x)) = 1 - \sigma(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{x + e - ex} = \frac{(1-x)e}{x + e - ex}$$

and so

$$\frac{T\varphi(x)}{1-x}=\frac{e}{x+e-ex}\le e \ \text{ for each } \ x\in[0,1],$$

which means that

$$\|T\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{1-x})\to L^\infty(\frac{1}{1-x})}\le e.$$

On the other hand, for $h\in L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})$

$$\|Th\|_{L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})}=\int_0^1\frac{h(\sigma(x))}{1-x}dx$$

and changing variables $\sigma(x)=u$, $dx=\frac{e}{(1-u+eu)^2}du$ we obtain

$$\|Th\|_{L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})}=\int_0^1\frac{h(u)}{1-u}\frac{e}{1-u+eu}du\le e\int_0^1\frac{h(u)}{1-u}du.$$

Thus, once again

$$\|T\|_{L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})\to L^1(\frac{1}{1-x})}\le e.$$

To finish the proof notice that T is a bijection and so, in particular, for each $g\in S$, there is $h\in S$ such that $h_d=g$. □

Remark 12. The proof of Theorem 5 is true also for Banach ideal spaces X with the Fatou property in which the above composition operator T is bounded, for example, in weighted $L^p(w)$ spaces when the weight w is increasing or power function on $I=(0,1]$. Lemma 10 and so Theorem 4 are true in some non-symmetric spaces like weighted L^p-spaces (see Appendix B). In particular, Theorems 4 and 5 together with this remark gives that

$$(Ces_{p,x^\alpha})'=\big[C\big(L^p(x^\alpha)\big)\big]'=\big(L^{p'}\big(\widetilde{\frac{1}{x^\alpha(1-x)}}\big)\big),$$

provided $\alpha<1-1/p$ and $1\le p<\infty$.

Corollary 13. *If X is a symmetric space on $[0,1]$ with the Fatou property such that $C,C^*:X\to X$ are bounded, then*

$$(CX)'=X'\big(\widetilde{\frac{1}{1-x}}\big).$$

5. Duality in the sequence case

Using analogous method we can prove the duality theorem also for Cesàro sequence spaces. Only in this section C will stand for the *discrete Cesàro operator* C, which is defined on a sequence $x=(x_n)$ of real numbers by

$$(Cx)_n=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n x_k,\ n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

We define also the nonincreasing majorant $\widetilde{x}$ of a given sequence x by

$$(\widetilde{x})_n=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N},\,k\ge n}|x_k|,\ n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

If X is a Banach ideal sequence space, we define an *abstract Cesàro sequence space* CX as

$$CX=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}:C|x|\in X\}\ \text{ with the norm }\ \|x\|_{CX}=\||C|x|\|_X.$$

The space $\widetilde{X}$ is defined as before with evident modification. It is not difficult to see that $CX \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $(\frac{1}{n}) \in X$. Note that if $(\frac{1}{n}) \in X_a$, then $\|e_k\|_{CX} = \|C(e_k)\|_X = \|(\frac{1}{n})\chi_{[k,\infty)}\|_X \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, which means that CX is not a symmetric space.

If $x = (x_n)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the dilations $\sigma_m x$ are defined by (cf. [LT79, p. 131] and [KPS82, p. 165]):

$$\sigma_m x = ((\sigma_m x)_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left(x_{[\frac{m-1+n}{m}]}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left(\overbrace{x_1, x_1, \ldots, x_1}^{m}, \overbrace{x_2, x_2, \ldots, x_2}^{m}, \ldots\right).$$

We have the following duality result.

Theorem 6. *Let X be an ideal Banach sequence space such that the Cesàro operator C is bounded on X and the dilation operator σ_3 is bounded on X'. Then*

$$(CX)' = \widetilde{X'} \quad \text{with equivalent norms.} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. For the inclusion $(CX)' \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X'}$ it is enough to follow the proof of Theorem 3. Let us only notice that the corresponding result of Sinnamon, which is the main contribution there, holds also for counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$. As before, in this part of the proof we need C to be bounded on X.

We will comment the inclusion $(CX)' \hookleftarrow \widetilde{X'}$ a little more careful just because one cannot make the respective substitution in the case of series in place of integral. First of all we can reformulate just slightly the inequality from [CR13]. Proving exactly like there one has that for $0 \leq x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} x_j \sum_{k=j}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} x_j,$$

just because $\sum_{k=[\frac{n+1}{2}]}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \geq \frac{1}{4}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{[\frac{j+2}{3}]} \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} x_j \leq 12 \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Cx)_j, \tag{5.2}$$

where the details of the first estimation are left for the reader. Finally, for $y \in \widetilde{X'}$ and $0 \leq x \in CX$, we put $\widetilde{y} = b$ and by (5.2) and Hölder-Rogers inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n x_n| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{y}_n x_n = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{[\frac{n+2}{3}]} x_{[\frac{n+2}{3}]} \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{[\frac{n+2}{3}]} (Cx)_n \\ &\leq 4 \|\sigma_3 b\|_{X'} \|Cx\|_X \leq 4 \|\sigma_3\|_{X' \to X'} \|b\|_{X'} \|Cx\|_X \\ &= 4 \|\sigma_3\|_{X' \to X'} \|y\|_{\widetilde{X'}} \|x\|_{CX}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widetilde{X'} \overset{D}{\hookrightarrow} (CX)'$ with $D = 4 \|\sigma_3\|_{X' \to X'}$. □

Particular duality results for Cesàro sequence spaces ces_p and weighted Cesàro sequence spaces $ces_{p,w}$ were proved by several authors. Already in 1957 Alexiewicz [Al57] showed that for weight $w = (w_n)$ with $w_n \geq 0, w_1 > 0$ we have $\left(\widetilde{l^1(w)}\right)' \equiv ces_{\infty,v}$, where $v(n) = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k}$. Using the Fatou property of the space $\widetilde{l^1(w)}$ we obtain

$$(ces_{\infty,v})' \equiv \left(\widetilde{l^1(w)}\right)'' \equiv \widetilde{l^1(w)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Jagers [Ja74] presented isometric description of $(ces_{p,w})'$ for $1 < p < \infty$, which is not so easy to present shortly. Ng and Lee [NL76] extended Jagers result on duality $(ces_{\infty,w})'$ under additional assumption that $w_n \geq w_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us notice that the result in (5.3) is simpler and precisely described.

Bennett [Be96], using factorization technique (technique where we replace the classical inequalities by identities), showed that for $1 < p < \infty$ we have $(ces_p)' = \widetilde{(l^{p'})}$ with equivalent norms. This identification follows from his Theorems 4.5 and 12.3 in [Be96]. Moreover, on page 62, he proved (5.3) with the equality of norms.

Grosse-Erdmann [GE98, Corollary 7.5], using the blocking technique, was able to show Bennett's result on duality $(ces_p)' = \widetilde{(l^{p'})}$ with equivalent norms (for $1 < p < \infty$). He also has some weighted generalizations and duality results for more general sequence spaces. Blocking technique allow to replace sequence space which is quasi-normed by an expression in the section form by equivalent quasi-norm in the block form and vice versa:

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[a_n(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|x_k|^p)^{1/p}]^q)^{1/q} \approx (\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}[2^{-\nu\alpha}(\sum_{k\in I_\nu}|x_k|^p)^{1/p}]^q)^{1/q},$$

where $\{I_\nu\}$ is a partition of the natural numbers into disjoint intervals. Often, but not always, I_ν may be taken as the dyadic block $[2^\nu, 2^{\nu+1})$. The disadvantages of blocking technique is loosing the control over constants, it does not convey the best-possible constants and can be used only for l^p or weighted l^p spaces, not for more general spaces.

For example, if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$, then the discrete Cesàro operator C is bounded in weighted spaces $l^p(n^\alpha)$ (see Hardy-Littlewood [HL27] and Leindler [Le70] with more general weights and $\|C\|_{l^p(n^\alpha)\to l^p(n^\alpha)} \leq p\frac{(1-\alpha)p}{(1-\alpha)p-1}$). Moreover, we can easily prove that $\|\sigma_3\|_{l^p(n^\alpha)\to l^p(n^\alpha)} \leq 3^{1/p}\max(1, 3^\alpha)$ and from Theorem 6 we obtain duality

$$(ces_{p,\alpha})' = (Cl^p(n^\alpha))' = \widetilde{l^{p'}(n^{-\alpha})} \tag{5.4}$$

with equivalent norms. This result was also proved in [GE98, Theorem 7.2] by use of the blocking technique. Our method gives these results as well, but is much simpler. Moreover, our Theorem 6 covers for example Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces (cf. [MPS07]) or weighted Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces, where the blocking technique, Jagers' method or Bennett's factorization seem to be not applicable.

6. Extreme case and applications

First, we give a simple proof of a generalization of the Luxemburg-Zaanen [LZ66] and Tandori [Ta55] duality result to weighted L^∞-spaces. They proved that $(Ces_\infty[0,1])' \equiv (CL^\infty[0,1])' \equiv \widetilde{L^1[0,1]}$.

Theorem 7. *Let either $I = [0,1]$ or $I = [0,\infty)$. If a weight w on I is such that $W(x) = \int_0^x w(t)dt < \infty$ for any $x \in I$ and $v(x) = \frac{x}{W(x)}$, then*

$$(Ces_{\infty,v})' \equiv (CL^\infty(v))' \equiv \widetilde{L^1(w)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Let $g \in \widetilde{L^1(w)}$ and $f \in X := CL^\infty(v)$ with the norm $\|f\|_X = 1$. Then

$$\int_0^u |f(x)|dx \leq W(u)\|f\|_X = \int_0^u w(x)dx \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in I$$

and since $\widetilde{g}$ is decreasing on I we obtain

$$\int_I |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)dx \le \int_I w(x)\,\widetilde{g}(x)dx,$$

and so

$$\int_I |f(x)g(x)|dx \le \int_I |f(x)|\,\widetilde{g}(x)dx \le \int_I w(x)\,\widetilde{g}(x)dx.$$

Thus $\|g\|_{X'} \le \|g\|_{\widetilde{L^1(w)}}$ and $\widetilde{L^1(w)} \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} X'$.

On the other hand, for $g_{W,t}(x) = \frac{1}{W(t)}\chi_{[0,t]}(x)$ with $t, x \in I$ and $f \in \left(\widetilde{L^1(w)}\right)'$ we have

$$\|g_{W,t}\|_{\widetilde{L^1(w)}} = \|\widetilde{g_{W,t}}\|_{L^1(w)} = \|g_{W,t}\|_{L^1(w)} = \frac{1}{W(t)}\|\chi_{[0,t]}\|_{L^1(w)} = \frac{\int_0^t w(x)dx}{W(t)} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\left(\widetilde{L^1(w)}\right)'} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\widetilde{L^1(w)}}=1} \int_I |f(x)g(x)|dx \ge \sup_{t\in I} \int_I |f(x)g_{W,t}(x)|dx \\ &= \sup_{t\in I} \frac{\int_0^t |f(x)|dx}{W(x)} = \sup_{t\in I} C|f|(t)\frac{t}{W(t)} = \|C|f|\|_{L^\infty(v)} = \|f\|_X.\end{aligned}$$

This means $\left(\widetilde{L^1(w)}\right)' \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} X$ or

$$X' \overset{1}{\hookrightarrow} \left(\widetilde{L^1(w)}\right)'' \equiv \widetilde{L^1(w)}.$$

□

The above proof works as well in the case of sequence spaces and gives (5.3).

Section 4 of the paper [DS07] was devoted to the identification of Cesàro spaces CX with X being the Lorentz space Λ_φ defined on $I = [0, \infty)$ by

$$\Lambda_\varphi = \{f \in L^0 : \|f\|_{\Lambda_\varphi} = \int_I f^*(t)d\varphi(t) < \infty\},$$

where φ is a concave, positive and increasing function on I with $\varphi(0) = 0$. We shall demonstrate, that the result proved in [DS07, Theorem 4.4] is a straightforward consequence of our duality result in Theorem 3.

Theorem 8. *For a Lorentz space Λ_φ on $I = [0, \infty)$ with φ satisfying $\varphi(0^+) = 0$ and for which there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^t \frac{\varphi(s)}{s}\,ds \le c_1\varphi(t), \quad \int_t^\infty \frac{\varphi(s)}{s^2}\,ds \le c_2\frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \tag{6.2}$$

we have

$$C\Lambda_\varphi = L^1(\varphi(t)/t).$$

Proof. It is known that $(\Lambda_\varphi)' = M_{t/\varphi(t)}$ (see [KPS82, Theorem 5.2, p. 112]), where $M_{t/\varphi(t)}$ is the Marcinkiewicz space given by the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_{t/\varphi(t)}} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{tf^{**}(t)}{\varphi(t)} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\int_0^t f^*(s)\,ds}{\varphi(t)}.$$

Since $\|f\|_{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}} \le \|f\|_{M_{t/\varphi(t)}} \le c_1\|f\|_{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}}$, where $\|f\|_{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}} = \sup_{t>0}\frac{tf^*(t)}{\varphi(t)}$, it follows from Theorem 3 (second estimate in (6.2) ensures boundedness of operator C in Λ_φ) that

$$(C\Lambda_\varphi)' = \widetilde{\Lambda'_\varphi} = \widetilde{M_{t/\varphi(t)}} = \widetilde{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}}.$$

Also $\left(\widetilde{f}\right)^* = \widetilde{f}$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\widetilde{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}}} &= \sup_{t>0}\frac{t\widetilde{f}(t)}{\varphi(t)} = \sup_{t>0}\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s\ge t}\frac{tf(s)}{\varphi(t)} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s>0}\sup_{t\le s}\frac{tf(s)}{\varphi(t)}\\ &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{s>0}\frac{s|f(s)|}{\varphi(s)} = \|f\|_{L^\infty(t/\varphi(t))}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}} \equiv L^\infty(t/\varphi(t))$ and the result follows by the duality $[L^\infty(\varphi(t)/t)]' \equiv L^1(t/\varphi(t))$. In fact,

$$C\Lambda_\varphi \equiv (C\Lambda_\varphi)'' = (\widetilde{M_{t/\varphi(t)}})' = (\widetilde{M^*_{t/\varphi(t)}})' \equiv [L^\infty(t/\varphi(t))]' \equiv L^1(\varphi(t)/t).$$

□

7. Appendix A

We present here a simple proof of the weighted version of the Calderón-Mitjagin interpolation theorem. We will use notations from [KPS82] and [BS88].

Proposition 14. *Let weight w and all symmetric spaces X, L^1, L^∞ be on I. If X is an interpolation space between L^1 and L^∞ with $\|T\|_{X\to X} \le C\max(\|T\|_{L^1\to L^1}, \|T\|_{L^\infty\to L^\infty})$, then $X(w)$ is an interpolation space between $L^1(w)$ and $L^\infty(w)$ and*

$$\|T\|_{X(w)\to X(w)} \le C\max(\|T\|_{L^1(w)\to L^1(w)}, \|T\|_{L^\infty(w)\to L^\infty(w)}). \tag{7.1}$$

Proof. First, note that for $f \in L^1(w) + L^\infty(w)$ we have

$$K(t, f; L^1(w), L^\infty(w)) = K(t, fw; L^1, L^\infty). \tag{7.2}$$

In fact, if $f = g + h$ is an arbitrary decomposition of f with $g \in L^1(w)$ and $h \in L^\infty(w)$, then $gw \in L^1, hw \in L^\infty$ and so

$$K(t, fw; L^1, L^\infty) \le \|gw\|_{L^1} + t\|hw\|_{L^\infty} = \|g\|_{L^1(w)} + t\|h\|_{L^\infty(w)},$$

which gives that $fw \in L^1 + L^\infty$ or $f \in (L^1 + L^\infty)(w)$ and

$$K(t, fw; L^1, L^\infty) \le K(t, f; L^1(w), L^\infty(w)).$$

On the other hand, if $f \in (L^1+L^\infty)(w)$ or $fw \in L^1+L^\infty$, then for arbitrary decomposition $fw = g_1 + g_2$ with $g_1 \in L^1, g_2 \in L^\infty$ we take for $i = 1, 2$

$$f_i = \frac{g_i}{w} \ \text{ on the support of } w \text{ and } \ f_i = 0 \ \text{ elsewhere.}$$

Then

$$f = \frac{g_1}{w} + \frac{g_2}{w} = f_1 + f_2 \ \text{ on the support of } w \text{ and } \ f = 0 \ \text{ elsewhere,}$$

and so $f_1 \in L^1(w), f_2 \in L^\infty(w)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}K(t, f; L^1(w), L^\infty(w)) &\le \|f_1\|_{L^1(w)} + t\|f_2\|_{L^\infty(w)}\\ &= \|f_1 w\|_{L^1} + t\|f_2 w\|_{L^\infty} = \|g_1\|_{L^1} + t\|g_2\|_{L^\infty}\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary decomposition $fw = g_1 + g_2$, which gives

$$K(t, f; L^1(w), L^\infty(w)) \le K(t, fw; L^1, L^\infty),$$

and (7.2) is proved. Second, if $T : (L^1(w), L^\infty(w)) \to (L^1(w), L^\infty(w))$ is a bounded linear operator, then

$$K(t, Tf; L^1(w), L^\infty(w)) \le \max(C_1, C_\infty)\, K(t, f; L^1(w), L^\infty(w))$$

for any $fw \in L^1 + L^\infty$, where $C_i = \|T\|_{L^i(w)\to L^i(w)}, i = 1, \infty$. Therefore, by (7.2), we obtain

$$K(t, (Tf)\, w; L^1, L^\infty) \le \max(C_1, C_\infty)\, K(t, fw; L^1, L^\infty) \ \text{ for any } \ fw \in L^1 + L^\infty.$$

If now $fw \in X$, then by the Calderón-Mitjagin interpolation theorem (cf. [Ca66, Theorem 3], [KPS82, Theorem 4.3 on p. 95] and [BS88, Theorem 2.12]) we have $(Tf)w \in X$ and

$$\|(Tf)w\|_X \le C \max(C_1, C_\infty)\, \|fw\|_X \ \text{ or } \ \|Tf\|_{X(w)} \le C \max(C_1, C_\infty)\, \|f\|_{X(w)}.$$

Thus, estimate (7.1) is proved. □

8. Appendix B

We give an improvement of the Hardy inequality on $[0, 1]$.

Theorem 9. *If $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\alpha < 1 - 1/p$, then*

$$\int_0^1 [Cf(x)\, x^\alpha]^p \, dx \le (C_{p,\alpha})^p \int_0^1 [(1-x) f(x)\, x^\alpha]^p \, dx \tag{8.1}$$

for all $0 \le f \in L^p((1-x)x^\alpha)$, where $C_{p,\alpha} = \dfrac{p}{p - \alpha p - 1} \max(1, p - \alpha p - 1)^{1/p}$.

Proof. For $p = 1, \alpha < 0$ and $0 \le f \in L^1(x^\alpha)$ we have by the Fubini theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [x^\alpha C f(x)] \, dx &= \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_0^x f(t)\, dt \right) dx = \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 x^{\alpha-1}\, dx \right) f(t)\, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{-\alpha} \int_0^1 (1 - t^{-\alpha}) f(t) t^\alpha \, dt \le \frac{\max(1, -\alpha)}{-\alpha} \int_0^1 (1-t) f(t) t^\alpha \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 \le f \in L^1[0, 1]$. Simple differentiation of $F(x) = (\int_0^x f(t)\, dt)^p$ gives equality (sometimes refered as Davis-Petersen's lemma – see [DP64, Lemma 2])

$$\left(\int_0^x f(t)\, dt \right)^p = p \int_0^x f(t) \left[\int_0^t f(s)\, ds \right]^{p-1} dt. \tag{8.2}$$

Let $0 \le f \in L^p(x^\alpha)$. Of course, $f \in L^1$ because $L^p(x^\alpha) \overset{A}{\hookrightarrow} L^1$ with $A = (1 - \alpha p')^{-1/p'}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 [x^\alpha\, C f(x)]^p \, dx = \int_0^1 x^{(\alpha-1)p} \left(\int_0^x f(t)\, dt \right)^p dx \\ &= p \int_0^1 x^{(\alpha-1)p} \left(\int_0^x g(t)\, t^{p-1} dt \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(t) = f(t)[Cf(t)]^{p-1}$. By the Fubini theorem and the Hölder-Rogers inequality the last integral is

$$\begin{aligned} I &= p\int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 x^{(\alpha-1)p}dx\right) g(t)\, t^{p-1}dt = p\int_0^1 \frac{1-t^{(\alpha-1)p+1}}{(\alpha-1)p+1} g(t)\, t^{p-1}dt \\ &= \frac{p}{(1-\alpha)p-1}\int_0^1 \left(t^{(\alpha-1)p+1}-1\right) t^{-\alpha p+p-1} g(t)\, t^{\alpha p}dt \\ &= \frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\int_0^1 \left(1-t^{p-\alpha p-1}\right) f(t)[Cf(t)]^{p-1}\, t^{\alpha p}dt \\ &\le \frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\left(\int_0^1 \left(1-t^{p-\alpha p-1}\right)^p f(t)^p\, t^{\alpha p}dt\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^1 Cf(t)^p\, t^{\alpha p}dt\right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $p-\alpha p-1>0$ and so

$$1-t^{p-\alpha p-1} \le \max(1, p-\alpha p-1)\,(1-t) \quad \text{for} \quad t\in I.$$

Really, if $p-\alpha p-1\le 1$, then it is clear and if $p-\alpha p-1\ge 1$, then by the Bernoulli inequality

$$t^{p-\alpha p-1} = (1+t-1)^{p-\alpha p-1} \ge 1+(p-\alpha p-1)(t-1),$$

that is, $1-t^{p-\alpha p-1}\le (p-\alpha p-1)(1-t)$. Moreover, note that if $0\le f\in L^p(x^\alpha)$ and $\alpha<1-1/p$, then by the classical Hardy inequality (cf. [HL27], [HLP52], [KMP07]) $Cf\in L^p(x^\alpha)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 [Cf(x)\, x^\alpha]^p\, dx \\ &\le \frac{p}{p-\alpha p-1}\max(1, p-\alpha p-1)^{1/p}\left(\int_0^1 (1-t)^p f(t)^p\, t^{\alpha p}dt\right)^{1/p} I^{1/p'}, \end{aligned}$$

and dividing by $I^{1/p'}$ we obtain

$$\left(\int_0^1 [Cf(x)x^\alpha]^p\, dx\right)^{1/p} \le C_{p,\alpha}\left(\int_0^1 (1-x)^p f(x)^p\, x^{\alpha p}dx\right)^{1/p},$$

which is (8.1) for all $0\le f\in L^p(x^\alpha)$. Since subspace $L^p(x^\alpha)$ is dense in $L^p((1-x)x^\alpha)$ we can extend estimate (8.1) to all $f\in L^p((1-x)x^\alpha)$, which finishes the proof. □

References

[Al57] A. Alexiewicz, *On Cauchy's condensation theorem*, Studia Math. 16 (1957), 80–85.

[AM09] S.V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, *Structure of Cesáro function spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 20 (2009), no. 3, 329–379.

[BS88] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators,* Academic Press, Boston 1988.

[Be96] G. Bennett, *Factorizing Classical Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1996), no. 576, 138 pp.

[Ca66] A. P. Calderón, *Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. 26 (1966), 273–299.

[CR13] G. P. Curbera and W. J. Ricker, *A feature of averaging*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 76 (2013), no. 3, 447–449.

[DP64] G. S. Davis and G. M. Petersen, *On an inequality of Hardy's (II)*, Q. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 15 (1964), 35–40.

[DS07] O. Delgado and J. Soria, *Optimal domain for the Hardy operator*, J. Funct. Anal. 244 (2007), no. 1, 119–133.

[GE98] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *The Blocking Technique, Weighted Mean Operators and Hardy's Inequality*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1679. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998.

[HLP52] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1952.

[HL27] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Elementary theorems concerning power series with positive coefficients and moment constants of positive functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 157 (1927), 141–158.

[Ja74] A. A. Jagers, *A note on Cesáro sequence spaces,* Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 22 (1974), 113–124.

[KK12] A. Kamińska and D. Kubiak, *On the dual of Cesáro function space*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), no. 5, 2760–2773.

[KA77] L. V. Kantorovich and G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis*, Nauka, Moscow 1977 (Russian); English transl. Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, New York 1982.

[KMS07] R. Kerman, M. Milman and G. Sinnamon, *On the Brudnyĭ-Krugljak duality theory of spaces formed by the K-method of interpolation*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 20 (2007), no. 2, 367—389.

[KLM13] P. Kolwicz, K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, *Pointwise multipliers of Calderón-Lozanovskiĭ spaces*, Math. Nachr. 286 (2013), no. 8-9, 876–907.

[KKL48] B. I. Korenblyum, S. G. Kreĭn and B. Ya. Levin, *On certain nonlinear questions of the theory of singular integrals*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 62 (1948), 17–20 (Russian).

[KPS82] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1982.

[KMP07] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L. E. Persson, *The Hardy inequality – About its History and Some Related Results*, Vydavatelski Servis Publishing House, Pilzen 2007.

[LT77] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces, I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1977.

[Le70] L. Leindler, *Generalization of inequalities of Hardy and Littlewood*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 31 (1970), 279–285.

[LT79] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces, II. Function Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1979.

[LZ66] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Some examples of normed Köthe spaces*, Math. Ann. 162 (1966), 337–350.

[Ma89] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics 5, University of Campinas, Campinas SP, Brazil 1989.

[MPS07] L. Maligranda, N. Petrot and S. Suantai, *On the James constant and B-convexity of Cesàro and Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007), no. 1, 312–331.

[NP11] A. Nekvinda and L. Pick, *Duals of optimal spaces for the Hardy averaging operator*, Z. Anal. Anwend. 30 (2011), no. 4, 435–456.

[NL76] P. N. Ng and P. Y. Lee, *On the associate spaces of Cesàro sequence spaces*, Nanta Math. 9 (1976), no. 2, 168–170.

[Ru80] Ja. B. Rutickiĭ, *Operators with homogeneous kernels*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 21 (1980), no. 1, 153–160; English transl. in: Siberian Math. J. 21 (1980), no. 1, 113–118.

[Si94] G. Sinnamon, *Spaces defined by the level function and their duals*, Studia Math. 111 (1994), no. 1, 19–52.

[Si01] G. Sinnamon, *The level functions in rearrangement invariant spaces*, Publ. Mat. 45 (2001), 175–198.

[Si03] G. Sinnamon, *Transfering monotonicity in weighted norm inequalities*, Collect. Math. 54 (2003), no. 2, 181–216.

[Si07] G. Sinnamon, *Monotonicity in Banach function spaces*, in: "Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications" (NASFA 8), Vol. 8, Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague 2007, 204–240.

[SZL87] P. W. Sy, W. Y. Zhang and P. Y. Lee, *The dual of Cesàro function spaces*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 22 (1987), no. 1, 103–112.

[Ta55] K. Tandori, *Über einen speziellen Banachschen Raum*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1954), 263–268 (1955).

(Karol Leśnik) Institute of Mathematics, of Electric Faculty Poznań University of Technology, ul. Piotrowo 3a, 60-965 Poznań, Poland
E-mail address: klesnik@vp.pl

(Lech Maligranda) Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden
E-mail address: lech.maligranda@ltu.se